

Reglamento base común?...

Publicado por Enlace WFTF - 19 Nov 2010 15:53

Buenas!

Lo primero es lo primero: quiero pedir perdón por el retraso en crear este hilo. Demasiado trabajo y un cierto desánimo me han hecho cuesta arriba lo que debería ser un simple corta y pega. Afortunadamente, una voz anónima me ha recordado que una cosa son los deseos y la otra las obligaciones. Allá va.

Johan Jansen, Presidente de la WFTF durante los dos próximos años, envió una circular agradeciendo a la organización del Campeonato del Mundo de FT sus esfuerzos, y felicitándolos por el éxito conseguido. Asimismo, desea lo mejor para la organización del Mundial de 2011, a cargo del RGB Field Target Italia. Dicha competición se desarrollará entre los días 2 y 4 de septiembre de 2011, cerca de Vicenza.

En un tercer párrafo comenta que, hablando con otros presidentes, ha detectado una corriente que desea que la WFTF se dote de unas normas de competición de mayor extensión, de tal manera que los concurrentes tengan más claro, durante la fase de preparación, qué normas son de aplicación, y que éstas no cambien en función de la Entidad organizadora. Veréis que se comenta partir de la normativa de la BFTA; os la adjunto como referencia.

Otra cosa: para facilitar el seguimiento, creo conveniente que los comentarios que surjan estén en hilo aparte. Solicito la colaboración de la administración del foro para ello.

Sin más, la lluvia de correos. Los dejo tal como llegan, con su orden y concierto y en idioma original. Espero que todos tengamos el inglés fresquito...

Saludos

=====

Sergio Rita - Portugal - 11/11/2010 11:44

Publicado por Enlace WFTF - 19 Nov 2010 16:17

In Portugal we also have a high power division.

IMHO, it makes all sense to create that division but we should leave this debate for later.

At the moment, I believe our focus should be approving solid basis for discussion and development of more comprehensive WFTF Rules in a near future. The BFTA rules will provide us what we need before entering in the RGB's proposals.

Best Regards,

Sérgio Rita

FPT Chairman

Portugal

=====

Tim McSweyn - Canada - 11/11/2010 15:23

Publicado por Enlace WFTF - 19 Nov 2010 16:18

We do not feel that the acceptance of the BFTA Rules as the WFTF Core rules is a necessary step. If we simply agree to use the BFTA rules as the framework, that would be adequate to start the process of modification. Once Johann feels we have reached a point satisfactory to all RGBs, he would call for a vote on the acceptance of the new WFTF Core Rules.

It seems like the process has already begun and the RGBs appear to be looking over the BFTA rules and finding sections that they feel may need modification in order to be accepted as World rules. The Board of Governors here in Canada is already going through this process and will bring our suggestions forward once we complete the process.

Canada favours the use of the BFTA rules as a framework to create a new set of Core Rules for the WFTF.

Tim MacSweyn

CAFTA Chairman

Canada

=====

Stanley Shaw - Malta - 11/11/2010 18:56

Publicado por Enlace WFTF - 19 Nov 2010 16:19

Dear RGB's

I 've been following your inputs after Mr. Johan Jansen put forward of Sergio to us all as a starter base of this discussion. Malta's position on the rules irrespective of accepting BFTA's regulations as a frame work or not, is one.

" Like all other sports there should be one set of regulation to which all nations forming part of WFTF should and must follow."

With this I mean that BASIC TECHNICAL SHOOTING REGULATIONS THAT DEFINE FT AS UNIQUE SPORT.

Legal and legislative conditions should not be merged within the regulations.

New Zealand mention the issue of insurance, there are other similar issues within different countries. It is important for WFTF to know the status and requirements for each country and the provisions needed to be taken to host world events in all countries, but should not enforce a legal statment within the BASIC CORE RULE.

Just a simple example.

FT according to BFTA rules is for sub 12ftlbs air rifles the main reason is that sub 12ftlbs air rifles do not require special permits or licensing in the UK. In other countries can be similar but in others its not. In Malta even a simple pee shooter requires a shooting license, an insurance and an active club membership in a registered club, but this is still not enough, once the target shooter aquires this he can shoot air rifles of any power but again there is another restriction which is "in authorized shooting ranges only".

What does all this mean?

We cannot pretend that other countries follow the same regulations that we have, but as WFTF members we must ensure through local authorities that we can host you, with your air rifle ownership and regulations. If there are high power classes then the hosting nation must do all that is legally

possible within its country to host such classes and shooters that do not need any permits to hold air rifles in their respective country.

I think we should sit down together and work on a foundation towards common basic FT regulations. The FT regulations as each nation is using are either BFTA regulations or adapted from. We are doing the same.

We agree with the proposal to start discussion and give FT a higher standard.

Best regards

Stanley Shaw

Chairman

MAAC Malta.

=====

Andy Kays - Noruega - 11/11/2010 20:19

Publicado por Enlace WFTF - 19 Nov 2010 16:21

Dear Mr President and fellow representatives,

We like the way Stanley has addressed the rules issue. Clever man that Stan !

We have also had a look at the BFTA rules, chopped and changed them a little and attached the result ... just our thoughts on some basic rules.

There are also a few points which we would like to bring to everyone's attention ...

1) We (NFTAC) have been represented at most of the World Championship events since 1992 (excl. USA) and have seen a few changes over the years .

2) Once upon a time there were 45mm, 40mm and a handfull of 25mm targets. In fact I can't recall one when Terry Almond won at Newbury. But, we always got a result.

3) Our theory - if you're good enough to win, you've got a pretty good chance of doing just that. Kill zones under 25mm are not necessary - match nerves and weather conditions are enough to contend with.

4) 75% of competitors don't have a cat in hell's chance of ending up in the top 10 however, we want these people to come back year after year (have you noticed how we never reach entrant capacity at the world's anymore ?). Give them targets that they can hit regularly enough to keep the interest going and they'll be back next year. Make it nigh on impossible for them to achieve a respectable score and we'll eventually lose our customer base - understand ?

5) We believe 3 shooters per lane upsets concentration, and should be restricted to 2, giving a limit of 150 shooters for the competition, unless some dead lanes are introduced as holding lanes - or even a "paper" lane to check zero - 1 shot only (?). Perhaps one dead/paper lane for every 5 lanes extending the total competitors to 180 max. At the 2005 World's in England, there were 272 competitor's results published - that's 3.6 people per lane (!). Where was everybody ? any ideas ? We think it's 5 years since max entries were achieved ... is this a sign ? As you all know, FT was mimicking airgun hunting in a competition environment. In the field, if you weren't hitting quarry you'd check your zero wouldn't you ? Or have we sadly buried that train of thought in our politically incorrect subconscious ?

6) We heard rumours of a four day competition mentioned in Hungary ... just rumour ... but a sensible rumour all the same. Like Moto GP and the like, the competitors have a practice round on the first day (not just zero check on paper- where the range is ALWAYS hogged...) to ensure the equipment is working over an extended period after the attack of the airline baggage handlers, temperature change, humidity change, nappy change etc etc... If anything is wrong, there's 24 hours to fix the problem ... not 24 minutes. Good idea whoever you were !

Thanks for listening ;o)

Andy & Co

NFTAC

PS. If you all want to be on our exclusive christmas card list, we need addresses ;o)

=====

Adam Welsh - Nueva Zelanda - 11/11/2010 20:56

Publicado por Enlace WFTF - 19 Nov 2010 16:23

Thankyou Tim, Andy and Stanley,

Nothing you have said is in disagreement with NZAFTA's position at this time.

"BASIC TECHNICAL SHOOTING REGULATIONS THAT DEFINE FT AS UNIQUE SPORT".

Yes This is what we would like too at the International competition level.

Define format, kill zone sizes, distances, difficulty factors such as inclines and shaded areas, technical shooting positionals, disabled positions,....and etc.

And as Tim said, we do not feel adopting the BFTA rules as a starting point is prudent, rather use the framework and best parts then reassemble what all RGB's actually want.

As Stanley has said, legalities should be left for host nations to negotiate with the incumbent President, who I would suggest should have a little discretion to sanction exceptions in special circumstances. It would be easier to announce one or two variations at an upcoming Worlds than to try to understand a whole new raft of host rules mixed with core rules overlaid.

Where laws allow, and further down the track, we would like to see a high power class reintroduced to bring shooters back into the sport who have not bothered with 12 ft/lbs since the adoption of that limit. Just as Women's, Senior, Junior, springer classes, we would feel (where legally appropriate) it should not detract from the overall World Championship title which should be shot at 12 ft/lbs limit.

I think we are all on the same page:

BASIC TECHNICAL SHOOTING REGULATIONS THAT DEFINE FT AS UNIQUE SPORT.

We look forward to Norway's proposed redraft, so that we may consider. We like that idea as opposed to adopting BFTA rules first.

This open discussion has been good and constructive.

Many thanks.

Adam Welsh

Secretary

NZAFTA

=====

Tim McSweyn - Canada - 18/11/2010 17:20

Publicado por Enlace WFTF - 19 Nov 2010 16:26

Hello fellow RGBs

Not sure how this is to proceed, but...

A Few Thoughts from Canada

As a starting point, Canada suggests the following modifications to the rules created by BFTA. This is not meant to be a final statement, but just the few instances in the BFTA rules where our thinking differs slightly. It does not include the obvious changes, such as changing the terms to reflect that the rules are for the WFTF and the World Championship match.

Rule 3, Section a.

a. The BFTA has declared a maximum pellet speed for rifles of 5 fps below the 12 ft/lbs limit, this is for the actual pellet being used by the shooter on the day. 5 fps below 6 ft/lbs will apply for pistols

CAFTA does not see the need for a set power level and then having to test under it by five feet per second. This would be like driving in a 50 kph speed zone and getting a speeding ticket for driving 47 kph. It is very simple to know the velocity allowed for the weight of each pellets used. A chart can easily be created that will show the maximum velocity allowed per weight of pellet, separated by fractions of a grain and/or gram.

Rule 7

7) COURSE OF FIRE

A full course of fire shall consist of not less than 25 targets. A full course of fire must be completed for a score to be deemed valid excepting any circumstance under Rule 15. or for any other reason deemed valid by the Chief Marshal. The minimum distance for any target from the firing line shall be 8 yards and the maximum distances of any target from the firing line shall be 55 yards.

The thought that shooting targets at as close as eight yards is a safety concern has been debated at length. The fact that it makes the use of some of the popular scopes for field target very difficult at these close ranges is well known. A simple solution would be to make the minimum distance ten yards, satisfying the safety concerns of some and making the use of many popular scopes possible.

Rule 11

11) TIME PER LANE

In the event of a competitor delaying excessively, the Marshall may time the lane, with the time starting when the eye is put to the sight. The time will be continuous, with the number of minutes allowed equating to the number of targets in that lane. (Timing is mandatory for all BFTA events)

If the total time exceeds 2 minutes the competitor will forfeit any `hit` obtained after the expiry of the allotted time.

We would suggest that the timing will start as soon as the lane is addressed and that the minutes allowable will be equal to the number of shots to be taken in the lane plus one minute for preparation. Addressing the lane would be when a competitor sits down for an open lane or to range a forced lane or steps in the shooting area for a standing lane or kneels in a kneeling lane.

Rule 18

18) CLASS OF ENTRY

If used, there will be classes of entry per the BFTA Grading system. Shooters may elect to shoot in a higher grade. This does not effect their listed grading unless results alter their grading percentage. Supervision of shooters under the age of 17 years will be in accordance with legal requirements.

NOTE: A shooting partner in competition does not necessarily constitute supervision of a shooter under 14 years of age.

The above age limits are subject to variation if the law changes at any time during the shooting season.

This does not seem to be applicable at this time.

Rule 20

20) DISPLAY OF RULES AND INSURANCE

A current copy of the BFTA General Shoot Rules shall be clearly displayed at the booking-in point of all shoots, together with a current and valid Certificate of Insurance.

This could be reworded to reflect that the Insurance Laws of the host nation would apply to the World match.

Tim MacSweyn

cft.mac@gmail.com

CAFTA Chairman

Canada

=====