

Reglamento base común?...

Publicado por Enlace WFTF - 19 Nov 2010 15:53

Buenas!

Lo primero es lo primero: quiero pedir perdón por el retraso en crear este hilo. Demasiado trabajo y un cierto desánimo me han hecho cuesta arriba lo que debería ser un simple corta y pega. Afortunadamente, una voz anónima me ha recordado que una cosa son los deseos y la otra las obligaciones. Allá va.

Johan Jansen, Presidente de la WFTF durante los dos próximos años, envió una circular agradeciendo a la organización del Campeonato del Mundo de FT sus esfuerzos, y felicitándolos por el éxito conseguido. Asimismo, desea lo mejor para la organización del Mundial de 2011, a cargo del RGB Field Target Italia. Dicha competición se desarrollará entre los días 2 y 4 de septiembre de 2011, cerca de Vicenza.

En un tercer párrafo comenta que, hablando con otros presidentes, ha detectado una corriente que desea que la WFTF se dote de unas normas de competición de mayor extensión, de tal manera que los concurrentes tengan más claro, durante la fase de preparación, qué normas son de aplicación, y que éstas no cambien en función de la Entidad organizadora. Veréis que se comenta partir de la normativa de la BFTA; os la adjunto como referencia.

Otra cosa: para facilitar el seguimiento, creo conveniente que los comentarios que surjan estén en hilo aparte. Solicito la colaboración de la administración del foro para ello.

Sin más, la lluvia de correos. Los dejo tal como llegan, con su orden y concierto y en idioma original. Espero que todos tengamos el inglés fresquito...

Saludos

=====

Tim McSweyn - Canada - 10/11/2010 23:03

Publicado por Enlace WFTF - 19 Nov 2010 16:10

I was under the impression that the BFTA rules would be used as a starting point and modifications as necessary would be made to them before they were adopted as the WFTF Core Rules. If this is the case, then this problem could be solved easily by rewording this section of the British rules to take into account the laws of the country hosting the Championships.

We, in Canada, also have some small suggested changes to the BFTA rules, but do not want to dismiss the whole idea of the BFTA rules, as they are best set of rules that exist in our opinion. Using them as a framework makes the most sense to CAFTA. We are working on our proposal for the small changes we would like to see.

Perhaps an amended rule for insurance could be formulated that would satisfy all involved. Since NZAFTA may have the greatest concern, they may be in the best position to create the wording that would allow them to host a World Championship.

I am very pleased that these concerns are being sent to all RGBs, so that everyone stays informed.

Tim MacSweyn

CAFTA Chairman

Canada

=====

Volker Bluem - Alemania - 10/11/2010 23:57

Publicado por Enlace WFTF - 19 Nov 2010 16:11

Hello all,

BDS proposed already about more than a year ago to take the BFTA Rules as a solid base for the WFTF Core Rules. Our German FT Rules are the BFTA Rules adapted to our national firearms law conditions and passed the Federal Administration Office without any change (a rare exception!). Where is the difficulty to sort out all GB-specific paragraphs or sentences to change them into core rules which give objectively a solid and fair shooting standard for the Worlds which can be accepted by any NGB and which is then supported by the specific national rules of the host country??? BDS is convinced that we need much more comprehensive core rules than the present ones and that those should be based on the BFTA Rules which are -in our opinion- the best ones at all.

Regards

Volker

--

Volker Bluem

BDS Fedederal Sports Director

Field Target Shooting

This email is checked and found virus-free by G Data Internet Security 2011

=====

Sergio Rita - Portugal - 11/11/2010 00:26

Publicado por Enlace WFTF - 19 Nov 2010 16:12

The proposal is to use the BFTA rules as a starting point.

If necessary we can remove the specific section of the "shotting insurance" or like Tim said, NZAFTA may create the wording for that specific section/concern.

We, in Portugal, also have some proposals to improve the BFTA rules but at this point the most important is to have something to start.

We believe BFTA rules will give us a good and solid working base to the future WFTF core rules.

Sérgio Rita

FPT - Chairman

Portugal

=====

Adam Welsh - Nueva Zelanda - 11/11/2010 00:31

Publicado por Enlace WFTF - 19 Nov 2010 16:13

Just so we are not under any misunderstanding, NZAFTA has voted at its recent AGM to adopt WFTF CORE RULES as a class for competition within NZ. Whatever those rules ultimately become automatically applies to that class of competition. That is for that class alone, and we have two other

classes to cater for the vast majority of shooters who wish to pursue the traditional style of NZ Field Target as shot here for 20 years plus prior to joining WFTF.

We are not opposed to BFTA rules, as we use them also as a benchmark, but would like to see; as suggested, input from each RGB to modify them into a World Core Rules set, not just adopting the BFTA rules as is.

Insurance aside, there are only minor differences between what we do and what BFTA do.

It would still be important to allow additional classes to be run at the event such as a High Power class where the laws allow. This would be an inclusive and forward looking step, rather than an introverted and exclusive step to take. If FT is to grow, it cannot tie the sport down to an irrelevant legal requirement based upon a power limit from one part of the World. Would be interested in the thoughts of USA, as a founding member of WFTF.

Adam Welsh

Secretary

NZAFTA

=====

Tim McSweyn - Canada - 11/11/2010 01:51

Publicado por Enlace WFTF - 19 Nov 2010 16:14

Perhaps I am wrong, but I do not recall anyone suggesting that we adopt the BFTA rules as is. However, other than a few items that need to be worded properly in order to fall in line with each countries laws, these rules are proven and work well.

In Canada we have a high power division , up to 20 ft/lb, with almost unlimited hold improvements, similar to the US rules. We also have a WFTF Division that follows the current WFTF Core Rules. We would not be opposed to a sub-class at the World Match, with separate awards provided by the host nation, using high power or what ever set of rules commonly used by that nation, in order to include individuals who choose to shoot in this class. We would prefer that the World Champion/s be declared using one set of rules and one power level so that all competing are doing so on a level playing field.

The WFTF Division or class is gaining popularity in Canada and the US. Many like the challenge of this style of shooting.

Tim MacSweyn

CAFTA Chairman

Canada

=====

Johan Jansen - WFTF President - 11/11/2010 4:41

Publicado por Enlace WFTF - 19 Nov 2010 16:16

Hi Adam,

We note the contents of your email. The Portuguese proposal was however that the BFTA rules only serve as a basis for discussion and development of more comprehensive WFTF Rules. All your concerns/suggestions will of course be considered and be included/excluded by majority vote of our RGB's in the process of developing the new set of rules. The same goes for inputs from all our WFTF RGB's.

I hope that this clarifies Sergio's proposal.

Kind regards,

Johan.

=====